MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff Robert Hunter Biden ("Plaintiff") hereby responds to Defendant Patrick M. Byrne's ("Defendant") frivolous and baseless objection to Plaintiff's purported violations of Pretrial Local Rule 16 and request for a continuance of the pretrial conference and trial dates. There is simply no valid basis for the Court to entertain Defendant's filing, nor is there any basis to continue the trial or pretrial conference dates for a third time.

Defendant's argument that Plaintiff's change in his damages theory by no longer claiming any economic, reputational, or emotional distress damages prejudiced Defendant's preparation of the case for trial is plainly absurd. Paring down and streamlining a case does not and cannot prejudice Defendant. If anything, it benefits Defendant in that there is less to prepare for in going to trial.

Further, Defendant has had sufficient time to address the streamlining of the case as follows: (i) Defendant has known since November 2024 when Plaintiff initially filed his opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in the initially filed Statement of Contentions of Fact and Law that Plaintiff was conceding being a public figure; and (ii) Defendant has known for nearly a month that Plaintiff was not going to seek economic, reputational, or emotional distress damages. Defendant is still claiming that his defamatory statements are true and Plaintiff still has to prove actual malice, so nothing has changed on those remaining material issues.

Defendant's complaints about delays in filing the pretrial documents are likewise without merit as they were *de minimis* and nonprejudicial. Prior to both previous trial dates, Defendant's counsel delayed the filing of joint documents such that they were not filed in accordance with the deadlines in the Local Rules, but nevertheless were ultimately filed and the parties were prepared for trial at that time. Most recently, when Plaintiff's counsel initially sent Defendant's counsel the joint witness list and exhibit list, Defendant's counsel claimed he was unable to insert his portions of those documents until he saw the proposed jury instructions and verdict forms, which were

not due to be filed until two weeks later. Moreover, all such documents were previously jointly prepared ahead of the two prior trial dates so Defendant's argument lacks any validity or common sense.

Simply put, this is a side-show that Defendant and his counsel are attempting to perform in the Court for the sole purpose of muddying the waters, delaying trial, and delaying justice. The Court should not entertain Defendant's filing or any of the frivolous and frankly ridiculous arguments made therein, and the pretrial conference and trial dates should remain set as they currently are.

9

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Dated: July 15, 2025 EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT GIZER & MCRAE LLP

1112

10

By: /s/ Zachary C. Hansen

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

27

26

28

BRYAN M. SULLIVAN (State Bar No. 209743) bsullivan@earlysullivan.com ZACHARY C. HANSEN (State Bar No. 325128)

325128) zhansen@earlysullivan.com EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT GIZER

& McRAE LLP

6420 Wilshire Boulevard, 17th Fl. Los Angeles, California 90048 Telephone: (323) 301-4660 Facsimile: (323) 301-4676

Richard A. Harpootlian, pro hac vice rah@harpootlianlaw.com
Phillip Barber, pro hac vice pdb@harpootlianlaw.com
RICHARD A. HARPOOTLIAN, PA
1410 Laurel Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Telephone: (803) 252-4848

Facsimile: (803) 252-4810

Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Hunter Biden